

**New Mexico - Roswell Field Office**  
**FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. All Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land X Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating X

**1. Rangeland Health Assessment -- 145 Points**

Based on rangeland health worksheets applicable to this particular land unit. Numbers on the worksheet range from 1 - 5. 1 is the highest and 5 is the lowest in departure from potential.

		Bench- mark Points	Points - After
<b>Rangeland Health Worksheet Total Points</b>			

**2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Maximum Points 120**

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans.

	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After
<b>Soil Erosion</b> (Sheet Rill, or Wind)			
Brush Management - 314	10		0.0
<b>Water Quantity</b> (Overland Flow)			
Brush Management - 314	10		0.0
<b>Water Quality</b> (Surface Water Contaminants)			
Brush Management - 314	10		0.0
<b>Plants</b> (Productivity)			
Brush Management - 314	10		0.0
Fence - 382	20		0.0
Pipeline - 516	20		0.0
Water Facility - 614	10		0.0
Well - 642	20		0.0
<b>Animals</b> (Population & Resource Balance)			
Brush Management - 314	10		0.0
Fence - 382	20		0.0
Pipeline - 516	20		0.0
Water Facility - 614	10		0.0
Well - 642	20		0.0
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection</b>	<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>

**New Mexico - Roswell Field Office**  
**FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. All Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land X Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_\_ Final Rating X

**3. Other Considerations - Maximum Points 85**

	Potential Points		Points - After
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.	10	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned CSP watershed.	60	0	
D. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project.	10	0	
<b>3. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>		<b>0</b>

A minimum of total pts. is required to be considered for contract selection,

Notes:

Rangeland Health	145	0	0
Practices	120	0	0
Other	85	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Ranking Number		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div>	

Designated Conservationist _____	Date _____	Max Points	350
		Earned Points	0
		Percentage	0.00%